



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 10/627,997 | 07/28/2003 | Joseph F. Scheuring III | 007570-0305156 | 007570-0305156 4259 | |
| 909 | 7590 04/14/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| PILLSBURY P.O. BOX 105 | WINTHROP, LLP | | SCHWARTZ, CHRISTOPHER P | | |
| MCLEAN, VA 22102 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3683 | | |

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summany | 10/627,997 | SCHEURING ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Christopher P. Schwartz | 3683 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | _ · | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This |) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 7-9 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 10-12</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| occ the attached detailed office action for a list t | · | (PTO-413) Ite atent Application (PTG-5 RAMARY ZAMMER) | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | When schings | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | (PTO-413) V V CHERY ZIAM | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTG 52) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. | 6) Other: | O. A. | | | | |

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements have been received and considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-3,7,8,9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Powrozek '338 in view of Besoyan.

Regarding claims 1,8 Powrozek '338 discloses an electric parking brake actuation assembly including a reversible electric brake actuator 22 with a drive shaft 32 or 40 and capable of functioning as claimed, a manual operable control 16 for transmitting a signal to the motor to operate the motor and a manual brake actuator 78

Application/Control Number: 10/627,997

Art Unit: 3683

J.

(see figures 5 and 11-14) operating in the claimed manner. Note the engagement of elements 90 and 92 forms a torque limiting clutch (see col. 9) via the fingers 140 of 92 engaged with teeth 130 on housing 90. Rotation of 90 via a tool transmits rotation to the gear 80 via the cable 82 and therefore to the shaft 40 via the gear 38 to apply the brakes. See the discussion 9-12.

'338 lacks a specific showing of a handle.

The reference to Besovan is relied upon to show it is known to use handles to actuate the parking brakes at 41.

To have provided the device of '338 with a handle for actuating the manual brake actuator 78 as opposed to a tool would have been obvious to the ordinary skilled worker in the art at the time of the invention for a more convenient and immediate use of the manual actuator.

Regarding claims 2,3,7 as broadly claimed, these requirements are met. See element 12.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4-6,10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3683

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher P. Schwartz whose telephone number is 703-308-0576. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack W. Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cps 4/12/04